

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,908	02/28/2002	Maxim A. Bolshtyansky	1-14	2903	
75	90 06/27/2003				
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP			EXAMINER		
90 Forest Avenu Locust Valley, I			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TUAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		• *	2828		
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		
	Application No.	plicant(s)	$\overline{}$
Office Action Commons	10/084,908	BOLSHTYANSKY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan N Nguyen	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	n tne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT. Cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	<u>April 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matt Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,11-14 and 16-18</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		0 0 20	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Paul	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,11-14 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected	PAUL IP		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 			
Certified copies of the priority document			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	*	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro			
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Ir	tummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-	D (D	

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. In respond to applicant's amendment filed April 21, 2003, claims 4, 10, and 15 have been cancelled, and claims 1, 5, 7, 12-14, 16 and 17 have been added. The claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-14, 16-18 are pending.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-14, 16-18 have been considered but are most in view of new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-3,5-9,11-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, vague, and confusing for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for example.

Claims 1, 12 recite "a laser cavity defined by first and second reflective devices, the laser cavity comprising an optical fiber lasing medium *coupled* between the first and second reflective device." It is not sure if the fiber optically coupled to the 1st and 2nd reflective device or physically coupled to the reflective devices. Claims 2-3, 5-9, 11, 13-14, and 16-18 are rejected base on the same reason.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2828

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 1-3,5-9,11-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable over Alphonse (US 6363088).

With respect to claims 1 and 12 Alphonse shows in figures 3,4,6-9b and discloses in the ABSTRACT an optical fiber laser cavity coupled between a first and second reflective device (F3: 310, 320, 330), having a pump source pumping into a combiner where other ports of the combiner is coupled within the laser cavity (F3: 340-370) (Col 7: 25-55). Since claim 12 recites the same or identical elements/limitations it is inherent to use patents ('088) to recite the method for combining laser light with pump light in an optical fiber laser device, product by process.

With respect to claims 2, 3, 5, and 13-14, Alphonse shows in figures 4, 6-9b and discloses a cladding pump fiber having rare earth core (Col 5: 20-30; Col 6: Table 1), where fiber has Bragg grating that consist of 1st and 2nd reflector (F 4: 410, 415).

With respect to claims 6-8, and 16-17, Alphonse discloses the grating has high index coating and low index coating, where the reflective device is an interference filter, and lasing medium is a single mode fiber (Col 7: 0-25; Col 3: 43-47; Col 11: 18-40).

With respect to claims 9, 11, 18 Alphonse shows in (Fig 3, 4) an input port that is associated with one of the first and second side of the combiner, and the fiber laser is configured for directional pumping or bi-directional pumping (Col 3: 12-15; Col 6: 65-67; Col 11: 42-55).

Art Unit: 2828

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's discloses.

DiGiovanni et al. (US 5864644)

Holcomb et al. (US 6456637)

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**: See MPEP 706.07. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-0756. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30 - 4:30PM.

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Tuan N. Nguyer

June 24, 2003

PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAM

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800